



One Broadway New York, NY 10004-1050 212.425.7200 Fax 212.425.5288



Fax Transmission

From:

Clifford A. Ulrich

Date:

January 13, 2003

Direct Dial:

212.908.6079

Fax:

212.425.5288

Client/Matter: 11150/8

Total number of pages: 3

(including cover)

Planca deliver to

Name	Company	Fax	Phone
Examiner Eric McCall	United States Patent and Trademark Office	(703) 746-4417	

Comments:

Re:

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/530,936
METHOD AND DEVICE FOR MONITORING AND/OR DETERMINING

MOTOR OIL QUALITY

□ Original will not follow □ Original will follow by □ Regular Mail □ Overnight Delivery □ Hand Delivery

The information contained in this facsimile transmission, including any attachments, is subject to the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product privilege or is confidential information intended only for the use of the named recipient. If the reader of this Notice is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone, so that we may arrange for its return or destruction at our cost. Thank you.

New York Washington, DC Silicon Valley



[11150/8]

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s)

Detlef PICKERT et al.

Serial No.

09/530,936

Filed

August 18, 2000

For

METHOD AND DEVICE FOR MONITORING AND/OR

DETERMINING MOTOR OIL QUALITY

Examiner

Eric S. McCall

Art Unit

2855

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax.

No. 703-746-4417) on:

Date:

Reg. No.

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

SIR:

In further response to the Final Office Action of March 14, 2002 and the Advisory Action dated July 9, 2002 and supplementing the Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 filed on June 14, 2002, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection of claims 33, 36, 39 and 40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patent No. 4,888,976 ("Vermeiren") and U.S. Patent No. 6,223,589 ("Dickert et al."). A Notice of Appeal was filed in the above-captioned application on August 20, 2002.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative the course of a teleconference on January 13, 2003.

As indicated on page 7 of the Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116, U.S. Patent No. 6,223,589 issued on May 1, 2001 from U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/299,126 ("the '126 application"), filed on *April 26, 1999*, which purports to be a *continuation* of PCT/EP99/05748, filed on *October 17, 1997*. Since the '126 application was filed as a *continuation* application, rather than as a national stage application, the effective date of Dickert et al. as a reference is its earliest effective filing date, *excluding any international filing dates*. See, M.P.E.P. § 1896, which states that "[r]egardless of when the application being examined was filed, the eff ctiv date as a reference of a patent which has issued from a 35 U.S.C. 111(a) application *is always its arliest effective filing date, excluding any*

NY01 554070



international filing dates" (emphasis added). Accordingly, since U.S. Patent No. 6,223,589 was filed as a <u>continuation application</u> und r 35 U.S.C. § 111(a), rather than as a national stage application, the earliest effective date of Dickert et al. as a reference is <u>April 26, 1999</u>.

The present application was filed under 35 U.S.C. § 371 as a national stage application of International Application No. PCT/EP98/06966. International Application No. PCT/EP98/06966 was filed on *November 4, 1998*, and the present application entered the national stage on *August 18, 2000*. As provided by 35 U.S.C. § 363, "[a]n international application designating the United States shall have the effect, from its international filing date under Article 11 of the treaty, of a national application for patent regularly filed in the Patent and Trademark Office."

Accordingly, the *international filing date* of *November 4, 1998* is considered to be the actual filing date of the present application. Since the actual filing date of the present application of *November 4, 1998* is earlier than the earliest effective date of Dicker et al. of *April 26, 1999*, it is respectfully submitted that U.S. Patent No. 6,223,589 does not constitute a prior art reference against the present application.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 33, 36, 39

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 33, 36, 39, and 40 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

Dated: <u>January 13,2003</u> By

Richard L. Mayer Reg. No. 22,490

One Broadway New York, New York 10004 (212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

26646

20010